

TORSION OF ABELIAN VARIETIES, WEIL CLASSES AND CYCLOTOMIC EXTENSIONS

YURI G. ZARHIN

Let $K \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a field finitely generated over $\mathbf{Q}$, $K(a) \subset \mathbf{C}$ the algebraic closure of K , $G(K) = \text{Gal}(K(a)/K)$ its Galois group. For each positive integer m we write $K(\mu_m)$ for the subfield of $K(a)$ obtained by adjoining to K all m th roots of unity. For each prime ℓ we write $K(\ell)$ for the subfield of $K(a)$ obtained by adjoining to K all ℓ -power roots of unity. We write $K(c)$ for the subfield of $K(a)$ obtained by adjoining to K all roots of unity in $K(a)$. Let $K(ab) \subset K(a)$ be the maximal abelian extension of K . The field $K(ab)$ contains $K(c)$; if $K = \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{Q}(ab) = \mathbf{Q}(c)$ (the Kronecker-Weber theorem). We write $\chi_\ell : G(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\ell^*$ for the cyclotomic character defining the Galois action on all ℓ -power roots of unity. We write $\bar{\chi}_\ell = \chi_\ell \bmod \ell : G(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\ell^* \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})^*$ for the cyclotomic character defining the Galois action on the ℓ -th roots of unity. The character χ_ℓ identifies $\text{Gal}(K(\ell)/K)$ with a subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^* = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\ell)/\mathbf{Q})$. Let $\mu(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ be the finite cyclic group $\mu(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ of all roots of unity in $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^*$. Its order is equal to $\ell - 1$ if ℓ is odd and 2 if $\ell = 2$. Let $\mathbf{Q}(\ell)'$ be the subfield of $\mu(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ -invariants in $\mathbf{Q}(\ell)$. Clearly, $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\ell)/\mathbf{Q}(\ell)') = \mu(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ and $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\ell)'/\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Z}_\ell^*/\mu(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$.

Let g be a positive integer, X a g -dimensional abelian variety over K . We write $\text{End}_K(X)$ for the ring of all endomorphisms of X defined over K and $\text{End}^0(X)$ for the finite-dimensional semisimple $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra $\text{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Its center $F = F_X$ is a field if and only if X is K -isogenous to a power of a K -simple abelian variety. If so, F is either a totally real number field or a CM-field. We write $\text{Lie}(X)$ for the tangent space to X at the origin. It is the g -dimensional K -vector space. By functoriality, $\text{End}^0(X)$ acts faithfully on $\text{Lie}(X)$. We write

$$\text{Tr}_{\text{Lie}(X)} : \text{End}^0(X) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_K(\text{Lie}(X)) \rightarrow K \subset \mathbf{C}$$

for the corresponding trace map. The embedding $\text{End}^0(X) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_K(\text{Lie}(X))$ gives rise to a natural structure of (not necessarily faithful) $\text{End}^0(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} K$ -module on $\text{Lie}(X)$.

The well-known Mordell-Weil-Néron-Lang theorem asserts that $X(K)$ is a finitely generated commutative group. In particular, its torsion subgroup $\text{TORS}(X(K))$ is finite. Hereafter we will write $\text{TORS}(A)$ for the torsion subgroup of a commutative group A . This implies that $\text{TORS}(X(L))$ is finite for any finite algebraic extension L of K . Mazur [7] has raised the question of whether the groups $X(K(\ell))$ are

finitely generated. In this connection, Serre (in letters to Mazur, of January 1974) and Imai [5] have proved independently that $\text{TORS}(X(K(\ell)))$ is finite for all ℓ .

Now assume that $L \subset K(a) \subset \mathbf{C}$ is an infinite Galois extension of K . When $L = K(c)$ a theorem of Ribet [10] asserts that $\text{TORS}(X(K(c)))$ is finite. The author [20] has proven that if the center F of $\text{End}^0(X)$ is a direct sum of totally real number fields and $\text{TORS}(X(L))$ is infinite then L contains infinitely many roots of unity. On the other hand, Bogomolov (Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou, mai 1982, Paris) proved that $\text{TORS}(X(L))$ is finite if the intersection of L and $K(ab)$ has finite degree over K . For example, if $K = \mathbf{Q}$, we obtain that if $\text{TORS}(X(L))$ is infinite then the intersection of L and $\mathbf{Q}(c)$ has infinite degree over $\mathbf{Q}$. The main result of the present paper is the following statement, which deals with essentially non-cyclotomic extensions and may be viewed as a partial improvement of the Bogomolov's result.

Definition 0.1. We say that X and K satisfy hypothesis (H) if they enjoy one of the following properties:

1. There is a discrete valuation v on K such that X has potential purely multiplicative reduction at v ;
2. K does not contain a CM-field (e.g., $K \subset \mathbf{R}$);
3. The Hodge group of X is semisimple.
4. The center F of $\text{End}^0(X)$ is a CM-field and the pair (X, F) is of Weil type, i.e., the $F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} K$ -module $\text{Lie}(X)$ is free.

Remark 0.2. It is proven in [14] that if an abelian variety has somewhere a (potential) purely multiplicative reduction then its Hodge group is semisimple.

Theorem 0.3 (Main Theorem). *Let X be a g -dimensional abelian variety over K . Assume that X and K satisfy hypothesis (H). If the intersection of L and $K(c)$ has finite degree over K then $\text{TORS}(X(L))$ is finite.*

Remark 0.4. If L is *totally real* then $\text{TORS}(X(L))$ is finite for an arbitrary X [23]. We refer to [10], [18],[20], [17], [21], [22], [23] for other results concerning the torsion in infinite extensions.

The Main Theorem is an immediate corollary of the following statement.

Theorem 0.5. *Let g be a positive integer. There exists a positive integer $N = N(g)$ depending only on g and enjoying the following properties:*

Let X be a g -dimensional abelian variety over K and assume that X and K satisfy hypothesis (H).

Then:

- Assume that for some prime ℓ the ℓ -primary part of $\text{TORS}(X(L))$ is infinite. Then $K(\ell)$ has finite degree over the intersection $L \cap K(\ell)$ and this degree divides $(N, \ell - 1)$ if ℓ is odd and divides 2 if $\ell = 2$ respectively. In addition, L contains $\mathbf{Q}(\ell)'$.
- Let $P = P(X, L)$ be the set of primes ℓ such that $X(L)$ contains a point of order ℓ . If P is infinite then for all but finitely many primes $\ell \in P$ the degree $[K(\mu_\ell) : L \cap K(\mu_\ell)]$ of the field extension $K(\mu_\ell)/L \cap K(\mu_\ell)$ divides $(N, \ell - 1)$.

We will prove Theorem 0.5 in Section 3.

I would like to thank the MPI für Mathematik for its hospitality.

1. MAIN CONSTRUCTION

Let F be the center of $\text{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, $R_F = F \cap \text{End}_K(X)$ the center of $\text{End}_K(X)$. We put

$$V_{\mathbf{Z}} = V_{\mathbf{Z}}(X) = H_1(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}), \quad V = V(X) = H_1(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}) = V_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}.$$

For each nonnegative integer m one may naturally identify the m th rational cohomology group $H^m(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})$ of $X(\mathbf{C})$ with $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^m(V(X), \mathbf{Q}))$. For each prime ℓ there are natural identifications

$$X_\ell = V_{\mathbf{Z}}/\ell V_{\mathbf{Z}}, T_\ell(X) = V_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\ell, V_\ell(X) = V(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell = V_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell.$$

There is a natural Galois action

$$\rho_\ell = \rho_{\ell, X} : G(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(X)) \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}(V_\ell(X)),$$

induced by the Galois action on the torsion points of X [11]. One may naturally identify the m th ℓ -adic cohomology group $H^m(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ of $X_a = X \times K(a)$ with

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}^m(V_\ell(X), \mathbf{Q}_\ell)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^m(V(X), \mathbf{Q})) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell.$$

This identification is an isomorphism of the Galois modules.

Assume now that F is a number field, i.e., X is either simple or is isogenous over K to a self-product of a simple abelian variety. Let O_F be the ring of integers in F . It is well-known that R_F is a subgroup of finite index in O_F . Recall that for each prime ℓ there is a splitting $F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell = \oplus F_\lambda$ where λ runs through the set of prime ideals dividing ℓ in O_F and F_λ is the completion of F with respect to λ -adic topology. There is a natural splitting $V_\ell(X) = \oplus V_\lambda(X)$ where

$$V_\lambda(X) = F_\lambda V_\ell(X) = V(X) \otimes_F F_\lambda.$$

It is well-known that all $V_\lambda(X)$ are $G(K)$ -invariant F_λ -vector spaces of dimension $2\dim(X)/[F : \mathbf{Q}]$. We write $\rho_{\lambda, X}$ for the corresponding λ -adic representation

$$\rho_{\lambda, X} : G(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{F_\lambda} V_\lambda(X)$$

of $G(K)$ [11],[9]. Similarly, for all but finitely many ℓ

$$R_F/\ell R_F = O_F/\ell O_F = \oplus_{\lambda|\ell} O_F/\lambda$$

is a direct sum of finite fields O_F/λ of characteristic ℓ . Also, $X_\ell = V_{\mathbf{Z}}/\ell V_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is a free $R_F/\ell R_F = O_F/\ell O_F$ -module of rank $2\dim(X)/[F : \mathbf{Q}]$ and there is a natural splitting

$$X_\ell = V_{\mathbf{Z}}/\ell V_{\mathbf{Z}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda|\ell} X_\lambda$$

where $X_\lambda = (O_F/\lambda) \cdot X_\ell$. Clearly, each X_λ is a $G(K)$ -invariant O_F/λ -vector space of dimension $2\dim(X)/[F : \mathbf{Q}]$. We write $\bar{\rho}_{\lambda,X}$ for the corresponding modular representation

$$\bar{\rho}_{\lambda,X} : G(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{O_F/\lambda} X_\lambda$$

of $G(K)$ [9]. Let d be a positive integer and assume that there exists a non-zero $2d$ -linear form $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}^{2d} V(X), \mathbf{Q})$, enjoying the following properties.

1. For all $f \in F; v_1, \dots, v_{2d} \in V(X)$

$$\psi(fv_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2d}) = \psi(v_1, fv_2, \dots, v_{2d}) = \dots = \psi(v_1, v_2, \dots, fv_{2d}).$$

2. For any prime ℓ let us extend ψ by $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$ -linearity to the non-zero multilinear form $\psi_\ell \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}(\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}^{2d} V_\ell(X), \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$. Then for all $\sigma \in G(K); v_1, \dots, v_{2d} \in V_\ell(X)$

$$\psi_\ell(\sigma(v_1), \sigma(v_2), \dots, \sigma(v_{2d})) = \chi_\ell^d(\sigma) \psi_\ell(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2d}).$$

We call such a form *admissible* or *d-admissible*.

Example. Let us assume that F is a *totally real* number field. If $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf on X defined over K and algebraically non-equivalent to zero then one may associate to $\mathcal{L}$ its first Chern class

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) \in H^2(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^2(V(X)), \mathbf{Q}).$$

The well-known properties of Rosati involutions and Weil pairings imply that $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is 1-admissible (see p. 237 of [8], especially the last sentence and Section 2 of [15]).

There exists a unique $F - 2d$ -linear form $\psi_F \in \text{Hom}_F(\otimes_F^{2d} V(X), F)$ such that

$$\psi = \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\psi_F).$$

Multiplying ψ by a sufficiently divisible positive integer, we may and will assume that the restriction of ψ_F to $V_{\mathbf{Z}} \times \dots \times V_{\mathbf{Z}}$ takes on values in R_F . Let $\text{Im}(\psi_F)$ be the additive subgroup of R_F generated by values of ψ_F on $V_{\mathbf{Z}} \times \dots \times V_{\mathbf{Z}}$ takes on values in R_F . Let $\text{Im}(\psi_F)$ be the additive subgroup of R_F generated by values of ψ_F on $V_{\mathbf{Z}} \times \dots \times V_{\mathbf{Z}}$. Clearly, $\text{Im}(R_F)$ is a subgroup of finite index in R_F that is an ideal. Notice that for all but finitely many primes ℓ

$$O_F = R_F/\ell R_F, \text{Im}(\psi_F) = R_F/\ell R_F.$$

Let us extend ψ_F by F_λ -linearity to the *non-zero* multilinear form

$$\psi_{F,\lambda} \in \text{Hom}_{F_\ell}(\otimes_{F_\lambda}^{2d} V_\lambda(X), F_\lambda).$$

Then

$$\psi_{F,\lambda}(\sigma(v_1), \sigma(v_2), \dots, \sigma(v_{2d})) = \chi_\ell^d(\sigma) \psi_{F,\lambda}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2d})$$

for all $\sigma \in G(K)$; $v_1, \dots, v_{2d} \in V_\lambda(X)$.

Similarly, for all but finitely many ℓ the form ψ_F induces a non-zero multilinear form

$$\psi_F^{(\ell)} \in \text{Hom}_{R_F/\ell R_F}(\otimes_{R_F/\ell R_F}^{2d} X_\ell, R_F/\ell R_F)$$

enjoying the following properties:

- The subgroup of $R_F/\ell R_F$ generated by all the values of $\psi_F^{(\ell)}$ coincides with $R_F/\ell R_F$;
- For all $\sigma \in G(K)$; $v_1, \dots, v_{2d} \in X_\ell$

$$\psi_F^{(\ell)}(\sigma(v_1), \sigma(v_2), \dots, \sigma(v_{2d})) = \bar{\chi}_\ell^d(\sigma) \psi_F^{(\ell)}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2d}).$$

This implies that for all but finitely many ℓ the restriction of ψ_F^ℓ to X_λ defines a *non-zero* multilinear form

$$\psi_F^{(\lambda)} \in \text{Hom}_{O_F/\lambda}(\otimes_{O_F/\lambda}^{2d} X_\ell, O_F/\lambda)$$

enjoying the following property:

$$\psi_F^{(\lambda)}(\sigma(v_1), \sigma(v_2), \dots, \sigma(v_{2d})) = \bar{\chi}_\ell^d(\sigma) \psi_F^{(\lambda)}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2d})$$

for all $\sigma \in G(K)$; $v_1, \dots, v_{2d} \in X_\lambda$.

Remark 1.1. Using the Künneth formula for X_a^{2d} , one may view ψ_ℓ as a Tate cohomology class on X_a^{2d} . If ψ is skew-symmetric then ψ_ℓ is a Tate cohomology class on X_a .

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that the center F of $\text{End}^0 X$ is a field and there is a d -admissible form ψ on X . Let ℓ be a prime and assume that the ℓ -torsion in $X(L)$ is infinite. If $L^{(\ell)}$ is the intersection of L and $K(\ell)$ then the field extension $K(\ell)/L^{(\ell)}$ has finite degree dividing $(d, \ell - 1)$ if ℓ is odd and dividing 2 if $\ell = 2$ respectively. In addition, L contains $\mathbf{Q}(\ell)'$.*

Proof. As explained in ([21], 0.8, 0.11) the assumption that the ℓ -torsion in $X(L)$ is infinite means that there exists a place λ of F , dividing ℓ such that the Galois group $G(L)$ of L acts trivially on $V_\lambda(X)$. Since $\psi_{F,\lambda}$ is not identically zero, we conclude that

$$\chi_\ell^d(\sigma) = 1 \quad \forall \sigma \in G(L) \subset G(K).$$

We write G' for the kernel of χ_ℓ^d . We have $G(L) \subset G' \subset G(K)$.

Recall that the kernel of $\chi_\ell : G(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\ell^*$ coincides with the Galois group $G(K(\ell))$ of $K(\ell)$ and χ_ℓ identifies $\text{Gal}(K(\ell)/K)$ with a subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^* = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\ell)/\mathbf{Q})$. Since the torsion subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^*$ is the cyclic group $\mu(\mathbf{Z}_\ell)$ of order $\ell - 1$ if ℓ is odd and of order 2 if $\ell = 2$, G' coincides with the kernel of $(\chi_\ell)^{d'}$ with $d' = (d, \ell - 1)$ if ℓ

is odd and $d' = (d, 2)$ if $\ell = 2$ respectively. This implies that the field $K' = K(a)^{G'}$ of G' -invariants is a subfield of $K(\ell)$ and $[K(\ell) : K']$ divides d' , since χ_ℓ establishes an isomorphism between $\text{Gal}(K(\ell)/K')$ and

$$\{s \in \text{Im}(\chi_\ell) \subset \mathbf{Z}_\ell^* \mid s^{d'} = 1\} \subset \{s \in \mu(\mathbf{Z}_\ell) \mid s^{d'} = 1\}.$$

Now it is clear that $K' \subset L$, since $G(L) \subset G' = G(K')$. It is also clear that $K(\ell)/K'$ is a cyclic extension of degree dividing d' .

In order to prove the last assertion of the theorem, notice that $\text{Gal}(K(\ell)/K) \subset \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\ell)/\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Z}_\ell^*$ and the finite subgroup $\text{Gal}(K(\ell)/K')$ of $\text{Gal}(K(\ell)/K)$ sits in $\mu(\mathbf{Z}_\ell) \subset \mathbf{Z}_\ell^*$. Since $\mu(\mathbf{Z}_\ell) = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\ell)/\mathbf{Q}(\ell)'), \mathbf{Q}(\ell)' \subset K'$. Since $K' \subset L$, $\mathbf{Q}(\ell)' \subset L$. $\square$

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that the center F of $\text{End}^0 X$ is a field and there is a d -admissible form ψ on X . Let S be an infinite set of primes ℓ such that for all but finitely many $\ell \in S$ the ℓ -torsion in $X(L)$ is not zero. Then for all but finitely many $\ell \in S$ the field extension $K(\mu_\ell)/K(\mu_\ell) \cap L$ has degree dividing $(d, \ell - 1)$.*

Proof. For all but finitely many ℓ the $G(K)$ -module X_ℓ is semisimple and the centralizer of $G(K)$ in $\text{End}(X_\ell)$ coincides with $\text{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}$. This assertion was proven in [19] for number fields K ; the proof is based on results of Faltings [2]. (See [6] for an effective version.) However, the same proof works for arbitrary finitely generated fields K , if one uses results of [3], generalizing the results of [2]. Clearly, for all but finitely many ℓ the center of $\text{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}$ coincides with $R_F/\ell R_F = O_F/\ell O_F$. Applying Theorem 5f of [20] to $G = G(K), G' = G(L), H = X_\ell, D = \text{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}, R = R_F/\ell R_F$, we conclude that for all but finitely many $\ell \in S$ there exists $\lambda \mid \ell$ such that $G(L)$ acts trivially on X_λ . Using the Galois equivariance of the non-zero form $\psi_F^{(\lambda)}$, we conclude that for all but finitely many $\ell \in S$ the character $\bar{\chi}_\ell^d$ kills $G(L)$. We write G' for the kernel of $\bar{\chi}_\ell^d$. We have $G(L) \subset G' \subset G(K)$.

Recall that the kernel of $\bar{\chi}_\ell : G(K) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})^*$ coincides with $G(K(\mu_\ell))$ and $(\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})^*$ is a cyclic group of order $\ell - 1$. This implies that the field $K' = K(a)^{G'}$ of G' -invariants is a subfield of $K(\mu_\ell)$ and $[K(\mu_\ell) : K']$ divides $(\ell - 1, d)$, since $\bar{\chi}_\ell$ establishes an isomorphism between $\text{Gal}(K(\mu_\ell)/K')$ and $\{s \in \text{Im}(\bar{\chi}_\ell) \subset (\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})^* \mid s^d = 1\}$. One has only to notice that $K' \subset L$, since $G(L) \subset G' = G(K')$. $\square$

Corollary 1.4. *Assume that the torsion subgroup of $X(L)$ is infinite. Then the intersection of L and $K(c)$ has infinite degree over K .*

Proof. Indeed, either there is a prime ℓ such that the ℓ -torsion in $X(L)$ is infinite or for infinitely many primes ℓ the ℓ -torsion in $X(L)$ is not zero. Now, one has only to apply the previous two theorems. $\square$

2. WEIL CLASSES AND ADMISSIBLE FORMS

Suppose A is an abelian variety defined over K , k is a CM-field, $\iota : k \hookrightarrow \text{End}_K^0(A)$ is an embedding, and C is an algebraically closed field containing K (for instance, $C = \mathbf{C}$ or $C = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}$). Let $\text{Lie}(A)$ be the tangent space of A at the origin, an K -vector space. If σ is an embedding of k into C , let

$$n_\sigma = \dim_C \{t \in \text{Lie}(A) \otimes_K C : \iota(\alpha)t = \sigma(\alpha)t \text{ for all } \alpha \in k\}.$$

Write $\bar{\sigma}$ for the composition of σ with the involution complex conjugation of k .

Recall that a triple (A, k, ι) is of *Weil type* if A is an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field C of characteristic zero, k is a CM-field, and $\iota : k \hookrightarrow \text{End}_K^0(A)$ is an embedding, such that $n_\sigma = n_{\bar{\sigma}}$ for all embeddings σ of k into C .

It is known [15] that (A, k, ι) is of Weil type if and only if ι makes $\text{Lie}(A) \otimes_K C$ into a free $k \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} C$ -module (see p. 525 of [10] for the case where k is an imaginary quadratic field). Now, assume that $A = X$ and the image $\iota(k)$ contains the center F of $\text{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ (for instance, $F = k$). Notice that in the case of Weil type the degree $[k : \mathbf{Q}]$ divides $\dim(A)$. In particular, $\dim(A)$ is even.

Our goal is to construct an admissible form, using a triple (A, k, ι) of Weil type.

Recall that the degree $[k : \mathbf{Q}]$ divides $\dim(A)$, put $d = \dim(X)/[k : \mathbf{Q}]$ and consider the space of Weil classes ([16], [1], [15])

$$W_{k,X} = \text{Hom}_k(\Lambda_k^{2d} V(X), \mathbf{Q}(d)) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^{2d} V(X), \mathbf{Q}(d)) = H^{2d}(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})(d).$$

Clearly, $W_{k,X}$ carries a natural structure of one-dimensional k -vector space. If fix an isomorphism of one-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector spaces $\mathbf{Q} \cong \mathbf{Q}(2d)$ then one may naturally identify $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^{2d} V(X), \mathbf{Q}(d))$ with $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^{2d} V(X), \mathbf{Q})$ and $W_{k,X}$ can be described as the space of all $2d$ -linear skew-symmetric form $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^{2d} V, \mathbf{Q})$ with

$$\psi(fv_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2d}) = \psi(v_1, fv_2, \dots, v_{2d}) = \dots = \psi(v_1, v_2, \dots, fv_{2d})$$

for all $f \in F; v_1, \dots, v_{2d} \in V(X)$.

Since (X, k, ι) is of Weil type, all elements of W_k are Hodge classes by Proposition 4.4 of [1]. Therefore, by Theorem 2.11 of [1] they must be also *absolute Hodge cycles*; cf. [1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let μ_k be the finite multiplicative group of all roots of unity in k . There is a continuous character $\chi_{X,k} : G(K) \rightarrow \mu_k \subset k^*$, enjoying the following properties:*

For each prime ℓ the subgroup

$$W_k \subset W_{k,X} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \subset H^{2d}(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})(d) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell = H^{2d}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d)$$

is $G(K)$ -stable and the action of $G(K)$ on W_k is defined via the character

$$\chi_{X,k} : G(K) \rightarrow \mu_k \subset k^* = \text{Aut}_k(W_{k,X}).$$

Proof. Since all elements of k are endomorphisms of X defined over K , it follows easily that $W_{k,X} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ is $G(K)$ –stable and $G(K)$ acts on $W_{k,X} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ via a certain character $\chi_{X,k,\ell} : G(K) \rightarrow [k \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell]^* = \Pi_{\lambda|\ell} k_\lambda^*$.

Let us consider the $\mathbf{Q}$ –vector subspace

$$C_{\text{AH}}^d(X) \subset H^{2d}(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})(d) \subset H^{2d}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d)$$

of absolute Hodge classes. Then $C_{\text{AH}}^d(X)$ is $G(K)$ –stable and the action of $G(K)$ on $C_{\text{AH}}^d(X)$ does not depend on ℓ and factors through a finite quotient; cf. [1], Prop. 2.9b. Since $W_{k,X}$ consists of Hodge classes and X is an abelian variety, all Weil classes are absolute Hodge classes, i.e. $W_{k,X} \subset C_{\text{AH}}^d(X)$, [1], Th. 2.11. This implies easily that the subgroup $\text{Im}(\chi_{X,k,\ell})$ is finite and contained in k^* , since the intersection of $W_{k,X} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ and $C_{\text{AH}}^d(X)$ coincides with $W_{k,X}$. (In fact, $W_{k,X}$ coincides even with the intersection of $W_{k,X} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell$ and $H^{2d}(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})(d)$.) This implies also that $\chi_{X,k,\ell}$ does not depend on the choice of ℓ . So, we may view $\chi_{X,k,\ell}$ as the continuous homomorphism

$$\chi_{X,k} := \chi_{X,k,\ell} : G(K) \rightarrow \mu_k \subset k^*,$$

which does not depend on the choice of ℓ . □

Let r be the order of the finite group $\text{Im}(\chi_{X,k})$. Clearly, r divides the order of μ_k . Let us put $Y = X^r$ and consider the Künneth chunk

$$H^{2d}(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})(d)^{\otimes r} \subset H^{2dr}(X(\mathbf{C})^r, \mathbf{Q})(dr) = H^{2dr}(Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})(dr)$$

of the $2dr$ th rational cohomology group of Y . One may easily check that the tensor power

$$W_{k,X}^{\otimes r} \subset H^{2d}(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})(d)^{\otimes r} \subset H^{2dr}(X(\mathbf{C})^r, \mathbf{Q})(dr) = H^{2dr}(Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})(dr)$$

coincides with the space $W_{k,Y}$ of Weil classes on Y attached to the “diagonal” embedding

$$k \rightarrow \text{End}^0(X) \subset \text{End}^0(X^r) = \text{End}^0(Y).$$

Since the centers of $\text{End}^0(X)$ and $\text{End}^0(X^r)$ coincide, the image of k in $\text{End}^0(Y)$ does contain the center of $\text{End}^0(Y)$.

One may easily check that $G(K)$ acts on $W_{k,Y} = W_{k,X}^{\otimes r}$ via the character $\chi_{X,k}^r$, which is trivial, i.e., $W_{k,Y}$ consists of $G(K)$ –invariants.

Let us fix an isomorphism of one-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}$ –vector spaces $\mathbf{Q} \cong \mathbf{Q}(2dr)$ and choose a *non-zero* element

$$\psi \in W_{k,Y} \subset H^{2dr}(Y, \mathbf{Q})(dr) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^{2dr}(V(Y), \mathbf{Q})).$$

Then a skew-symmetric $2dr$ –linear form ψ is admissible.

Applying to ψ the theorems of the previous section, we obtain the following statement, which implies the case 4 (in the hypothesis (H)) of Theorem 0.5.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that the center F of $\text{End}^0 X$ is a CM-field and (X, F, id) is of Weil type. Let us put $d = \#(\mu_F) \times 2\dim(X)/[F : \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. Let L be an infinite Galois extension of K .*

1. *Let ℓ be a prime such that the ℓ -torsion in $X(L)$ is infinite. Let $L^{(\ell)}$ be the intersection of L and $K(\ell)$. Then the field extension $K(\ell)/L^{(\ell)}$ has finite degree dividing $(d, \ell - 1)$ if ℓ is odd and dividing 2 if $\ell = 2$ respectively. In addition, L contains $\mathbf{Q}(\ell)'$.*
2. *Let S be the set of primes ℓ such that $X(L)$ contains a point of order ℓ and assume that S is infinite. Then for all but finitely many $\ell \in S$ the field extension $K(\mu_\ell)/K(\mu_\ell) \cap L$ has degree dividing $(d, \ell - 1)$.*

Remark 2.3. Since $[F : \mathbf{Q}]$ divides $2\dim(X) = 2g$, one may easily find an explicit positive integer $M = M(g)$, depending only on g and divisible by $\#(\mu_F) \times 2\dim(X)/[F : \mathbf{Q}]$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.5

We may and will assume that X is K -simple. Then the center F of $\text{End}^0 X$ is either a totally real number field or a CM-field. If F is totally real then the assertion of Theorem 0.5 is proven in [20] with $N = 1$. So, further we assume that F is a CM-field. We also know that the assertion of Theorem 0.5 is true when (X, F) is of Weil type (Case 4 of Hypothesis (H)).

3.1. Cases 1 and 3 of Hypothesis (H). Enlarging K if necessary, we may and will assume that X is absolutely simple and has semistable reduction. Then, the results of [14] imply that in both cases Hdg_X is semisimple. This means that (X, F, id) is of Weil type (cf. for instance [14]). Now, one has only to apply the result of the previous section with $d = \#(\mu_F) \times 2\dim(X)/[F : \mathbf{Q}]$ and get the assertion of Theorem 0.5 with $N = M(g)$.

3.2. Case 2 of Hypothesis (H). We know that the assertion of the theorem is true if (X, F, id) is of Weil type. So, we may assume that (X, F, id) is not of Weil type.

Let us consider the trace map

$$\mathbf{Tr}_{\text{Lie}(X)} : F \subset \text{End}^0(X) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_K(\text{Lie}(X)) \rightarrow K \subset \mathbf{C}.$$

Our assumption means that the image $\mathbf{Tr}_{\text{Lie}(X)}(F)$ is not contained in $\mathbf{R}$. On the other hand, let us fix an embedding of F into $\mathbf{C}$ and let L be the normal closure of F into $\mathbf{C}$. Clearly, L is a CM-field, containing $\mathbf{Tr}_{\text{Lie}(X)}(F)$. Since $\mathbf{Tr}_{\text{Lie}(X)}(F) \subset K$, the intersection $L \cap K$ contains an element, which is not totally real. Since any subfield of a CM-field is either totally real or CM, the field $L \cap K$ is a CM-subfield of K .

Remark 3.1. If K is a number field not containing a CM-field, one may give another proof, using theory of abelian λ -adic representations [11] instead of Weil/Hodge classes. The crucial point is that in this case the Serre's tori $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ are isomorphic to the multiplicative group $\mathbf{G}_m$ [11], Sect. 3.4.

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a K -simple abelian variety of odd dimension. Assume that K does not contain a CM-subfield (e.g., $K \subset \mathbf{R}$). If $X(L)$ contains infinitely many points of finite order then L contains infinitely many roots of unity.*

Proof. In the case of the totally real center F this assertion is proven in ([20], Th.6, p. 142) without restrictions on the dimension. So, in order to prove Corollary, it suffices to check that F is not a CM-field.

Assume that F is a CM-field. Since $\dim(X)$ is odd, (X, F, id) is not of Weil type. Now, the arguments, used in the proof of Case 2 imply that K contains a CM-subfield. This gives us a desired contradiction. $\square$

Remark 3.3. The assertion of Corollary cannot be extended to the even-dimensional case. In Section 4 we give an explicit counterexample.

Remark 3.4. Let X be a g -dimensional abelian variety that is not necessarily K -simple and let F be the center of $\text{End}^0(X)$. Assume that

$$\text{Tr}_{\text{Lie}(X)}(F) \subset \mathbf{R}.$$

Then the assertion of Theorem 0.5 holds true for X . Indeed, if Y is a K -simple abelian subvariety of X and F_Y is the center of $\text{End}^0(Y)$ then one may easily check that either F_Y is a totally real number field or (Y, F_Y, id) is of Weil type.

4. EXAMPLE

In this section we construct an abelian surface X over $\mathbf{Q}$ and a Galois extension L of $\mathbf{Q}$ such that L contains only finitely many roots of unity but $X(L)$ contains infinitely many points of finite order. Of course, the intersection of L and $\mathbf{Q}(c)$ is of infinite degree over $\mathbf{Q}$.

4.1. Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}$ without complex multiplication (e. g., $j(E)$ is not an integer). Let us put

$$Y = \{(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in E^3 \mid e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0\}.$$

Clearly, Y is an abelian surface over $\mathbf{Q}$ isomorphic to E^2 . Denote by s an automorphism of Y induced by the cyclic permutation of factors of E^3 , i.e.,

$$s(e_1, e_2, e_3) = (e_3, e_1, e_2) \quad \forall (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in Y.$$

Let C be the cyclic subgroup in $\text{Aut}(X)$ of order 3 generated by s .

By a theorem of Serre [12] the homomorphism

$$\rho_{\ell,E} : G(\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(E)) \cong \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$$

is *surjective* for all but finitely many ℓ . Notice, that the composition

$$\det \rho_{\ell,E} : G(\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\ell^*$$

coincides with $\chi_\ell : G(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_\ell^*$ [12]. In particular, if $\mathbf{Q}(E(\ell^\infty))$ is the field of definition of all points on E of ℓ -power order then $\mathbf{Q}(E(\ell^\infty))/\mathbf{Q}$ is the Galois extension with the Galois group $\text{GL}(2, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$. In addition, the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\ell)$ is the *maximal abelian* subextension of $\mathbf{Q}(E(\ell^\infty))$ and the subgroup $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(E(\ell^\infty))/\mathbf{Q}(\ell)) \subset \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(E(\ell^\infty))/\mathbf{Q})$ coincides with $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$.

Let us fix such an ℓ , assuming in addition that $\ell - 1$ is divisible by 3 but not divisible by 9. Let $\mu_{3,\ell}$ be the group of cubic roots of unity in $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^*$. Then there exists a continuous surjective homomorphism $\text{pr}_3 : \mathbf{Z}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mu_{3,\ell}$, coinciding with the identity map on $\mu_{3,\ell}$. These properties determine pr_3 uniquely.

Let us define field L as a subextension of $\mathbf{Q}(E(\ell^\infty))$ such that $\mathbf{Q}(E(\ell^\infty))/L$ is a cubic extension, whose Galois (sub)group coincides with

$$\mu_{3,\ell} \cdot \text{id} = \{\gamma \cdot \text{id} \mid \gamma \in \mu_{3,\ell}\} \subset \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

It follows immediately that L is a Galois extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ and it does not contain a primitive ℓ th root of unity. This implies that 1 and -1 are the only roots of unity in L .

Let us choose a *primitive* cubic root of unity $\gamma \in \mu_{3,\ell}$ and let $\iota : \mu_{3,\ell} \rightarrow C$ be the isomorphism, which sends γ to s .

Now, let us define X as the twist of Y via the cubic character

$$\kappa := \iota \text{pr}_3 \chi_\ell = \iota \text{pr}_3 \det \rho_{\ell,E} : G(\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \mu_{3,\ell} \rightarrow C \subset \text{Aut}(Y).$$

The Galois module $T_\ell(X)$ is the twist of $T_\ell(E)^2$ via κ . Namely,

$$T_\ell(X) = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in T_\ell(E) \oplus T_\ell(E) \oplus T_\ell(E) \mid v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = 0\}$$

as the $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$ -module but

$$\rho_{\ell,X}(\sigma)(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \kappa(\sigma)(\rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma)(v_1), \rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma)(v_2), \rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma)(v_3))$$

for all $\sigma \in G(\mathbf{Q})$. Now, we construct explicitly $G(L)$ -invariant elements of $T_\ell(X)$. Starting with any $v \in T_\ell(E)$, put

$$w = (\gamma^{-1}v, \gamma v, v) = (\gamma^2 v, \gamma v, v) \in T_\ell(E) \oplus T_\ell(E) \oplus T_\ell(E).$$

Clearly, $w \in T_\ell(X)$; $sw = \gamma w$. Let us check that w is $G(L)$ -invariant. Clearly,

$$G(L) = \{\sigma \in G(\mathbf{Q}) \mid \rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma) \in \mu_{3,\ell} \cdot \text{id}\}.$$

Let $\sigma \in G(L)$ with $\rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma) = \zeta \text{id}$, $\zeta \in \mu_{3,\ell}$. If $\zeta = 1$, i.e., $\rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma) = \text{id}$ then all elements of $V_\ell(X)$ are σ -invariant. Since $\mu_{3,\ell} = \{1, \gamma, \gamma^{-1}\}$, we may assume that $\zeta = \gamma$, i.e., $\rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma) = \gamma \cdot \text{id}$ and therefore $\det \rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma) = \gamma^2 = \gamma^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\ell,X}(\sigma)(w) &= \\ \iota(\text{pr}_3(\det \rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma)))(\rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma)(\gamma^2 v), \rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma)(\gamma v), \rho_{\ell,E}(\sigma)(v)) &= \iota(\gamma^2)(\gamma w) = \\ s^2(\gamma w) &= \gamma s^2 w = \gamma \gamma^2 w = w. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that w is $G(L)$ -invariant.

Now, I claim that $X(L)$ contains infinitely many points, whose order is a power of ℓ . Indeed, starting with a non-divisible element $v \in T_\ell(E)$ and identifying the group X_{ℓ^n} with the quotient $T_\ell(X)/\ell^n T_\ell(X)$, we get a L -rational point $(\gamma^2 v, \gamma v, v) \bmod \ell^n T_\ell(X) \in T_\ell(X)/\ell^n T_\ell(X) = X_{\ell^n}$ of order ℓ^n .

5. ANOTHER EXAMPLE

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field with class number 1 and let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}$ such that $\text{End}_K(E) = O_K$ is the ring of integers in K . In this section we construct a Galois extension L of K such that $E(L)$ contains infinitely many points of finite order but the intersection of L and $K(c)$ is of finite degree over K (even coincides with K).

We write $\iota : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ for the complex conjugation $z \mapsto \bar{z}$. We write R for O_K . Clearly, $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E) = \mathbf{Z} \neq R$. It follows easily that

$$\iota(ux) = \bar{u}(\iota(x)) \quad \forall x \in E(\mathbf{C}), u \in R.$$

Notice that K is abelian over $\mathbf{Q}$. Since $\mathbf{Q}(c) = \mathbf{Q}(ab)$, $K \subset \mathbf{Q}(c)$ and therefore

$$K(c) = \mathbf{Q}(c).$$

5.1. Let ℓ be a prime number. We write R_ℓ for $R \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\ell$. It is well-known that $T_\ell(E)$ is a free $R \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\ell$ -module of rank 1 and therefore

$$\text{End}_{R_\ell}(T_\ell(E)) = R_\ell, \quad \text{Aut}_{R_\ell}(T_\ell(E)) = R_\ell^*.$$

Let us consider the corresponding ℓ -adic representation

$$\rho_{\ell,E} : G(\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(E)) \cong \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

Clearly, $G_\ell := \rho_{\ell,E}(G(\mathbf{Q}))$ is not a subgroup of $R_\ell^* = \text{Aut}_{R_\ell}(T_\ell(E))$ but

$$H_\ell := \rho_{\ell,E}(G(K)) \subseteq R_\ell^*.$$

It is also known ([12], Sect. 4.5) that

$$H_\ell = R_\ell^*$$

for all but finitely many primes ℓ . Let us fix such an ℓ , assuming in addition that ℓ is unramified and splits in K . This implies that $\ell = \mathfrak{q}\bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ for some $\mathfrak{q} \in K$ and

$$O_K = \mathfrak{q} \cdot O_K + \bar{\mathfrak{q}} \cdot O_K, \quad R_\ell = R_\mathfrak{q} \oplus R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}, \quad R_\mathfrak{q} = \mathbf{Z}_\ell, R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}} = \mathbf{Z}_\ell,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{q}R_\ell &= \ell R_{\mathfrak{q}} \oplus R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}} = \ell \mathbf{Z}_\ell \oplus \mathbf{Z}_\ell \subset \mathbf{Z}_\ell \oplus \mathbf{Z}_\ell = R_\ell, \\
\bar{\mathfrak{q}}R_\ell &= R_{\mathfrak{q}} \oplus \ell R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}} = \mathbf{Z}_\ell \oplus \ell \mathbf{Z}_\ell \subset \mathbf{Z}_\ell \oplus \mathbf{Z}_\ell = R_\ell, \\
R_\ell^* &= R_{\mathfrak{q}}^* \times R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^*, \quad R_{\mathfrak{q}}^* = \mathbf{Z}_\ell^*, R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^* = \mathbf{Z}_\ell^*.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$T_\ell(E) = T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E) \oplus T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E)$$

where

$$T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E) := R_{\mathfrak{q}} \cdot T_\ell(E), \quad T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E) := R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}} \cdot T_\ell(E)$$

are free $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$ -modules of rank 1. This implies that for each positive integer i

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{q}^i T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E) &= \ell^i T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E), \quad \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^i T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E) = T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E), \\
\bar{\mathfrak{q}}^i T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E) &= \ell^i T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E), \quad \mathfrak{q}^i T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E) = T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E)
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$T_\ell(E)/\ell^i T_\ell(E) = T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E)/\ell^i T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E) \oplus T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E)/\ell^i T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E) = T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E)/\mathfrak{q}^i T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E) \oplus T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E)/\bar{\mathfrak{q}}^i T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E).$$

It follows easily that a point $x \in E_{\ell^i} = T_\ell(E)/\ell^i T_\ell(E)$ satisfies $\mathfrak{q}^i x = 0$ (respectively $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}^i x = 0$) if and only if $x \in T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E)/\ell^i T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E)$ (respectively $x \in T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E)/\ell^i T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E)$).

Let us put

$$\tau := \rho_{\ell, E}(\iota) \in G_\ell \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(E)).$$

Then $\tau^2 = \text{id}$ and

$$\tau(R_{\mathfrak{q}}^* \times \{1\})\tau^{-1} = \{1\} \times R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^* \subset R_\ell^*, \quad \tau(\{1\} \times R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^*)\tau^{-1} = R_{\mathfrak{q}}^* \times \{1\} \subset R_\ell^*.$$

It is also clear that

$$\tau(T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E)) = T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E), \quad \tau(T_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(E)) = T_{\mathfrak{q}}(E).$$

Let us consider the field $K(E(\ell^\infty))$ of definition of all points on E of ℓ -power order. It is the Galois extension of K with the Galois group $R_\ell^* = R_{\mathfrak{q}}^* \times R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^*$. It is also normal over $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\text{Gal}(K(E(\ell^\infty))/\mathbf{Q}) = G_\ell$, since E is defined over $\mathbf{Q}$ and K is normal over $\mathbf{Q}$.

Let us define L as a subextension of $K(E(\ell^\infty))/K$ such that

$$\text{Gal}(K(E(\ell^\infty))/L) = \{1\} \times R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^* \subset R_{\mathfrak{q}}^* \times R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^* = R_\ell^* = \text{Gal}(K(E(\ell^\infty))/K).$$

One may easily check that L coincides with the field $K(E(\mathfrak{q}^\infty))$ of definition of all torsion points on E which are killed by a power of $\mathfrak{q}$. In particular, $E(L)$ contains infinitely many points, whose order is a power of ℓ . Let us consider the field $L' = \iota(L)$. Clearly, $K \subset L' \subset K(E(\ell^\infty))$ and L' coincides with the field $K(E(\bar{\mathfrak{q}}^\infty))$ of definition of all torsion points on E which are killed by a power of $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}$. It is also clear that

$$\text{Gal}(K(E(\ell^\infty))/L) = \tau(\{1\} \times R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^*)\tau^{-1} = R_{\mathfrak{q}}^* \times \{1\} \subset R_{\mathfrak{q}}^* \times R_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}^* = R_\ell^* = \text{Gal}(K(E(\ell^\infty))).$$

Since the subgroups $\{1\} \times R_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ and $R_{\mathfrak{q}}^* \times \{1\}$ generate the whole group $R_{\ell}^* = \text{Gal}(K(E(\ell^\infty))/K)$,

$$L \bigcap \iota(L) = L \bigcap L' = K.$$

It follows that if M/K is a subextension of L/K such that M is normal over $\mathbf{Q}$ then $M = K$. Since $K(c) = \mathbf{Q}(c)$, $L \bigcap K(c) = L \bigcap \mathbf{Q}(c)$ is a subfield of $\mathbf{Q}(c)$ and therefore is normal (even abelian) over $\mathbf{Q}$. It follows that

$$L \bigcap K(c) = K.$$

6. ABELIAN SUBEXTENSIONS

The following statement may be viewed as a variant of Theorem 0.5 for arbitrary abelian varieties over number fields.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be an abelian variety over a number field K . Then:*

1. *If for some prime ℓ the ℓ -primary part of $\text{TORS}(X(L))$ is infinite then L contains an abelian infinite subextension $E \subset L$ such that $\text{Gal}(E/K) \cong \mathbf{Z}_\ell$ and E/K is ramified only at divisors of ℓ .*
2. *Let $P = P(X, L)$ be the set of primes ℓ such that $X(L)$ contains a point of order ℓ . If P is infinite then for all but finitely many primes $\ell \in P$ there exist a finite subextension $E^{(\ell)} \subset L$ such that $E^{(\ell)}/K$ is a ramified abelian extension which is unramified outside divisors of ℓ . In addition, the degree $[E^{(\ell)} : K]$ is prime to ℓ and degree $[E^{(\ell)} : K]$ tends to infinity while ℓ tends to infinity.*

Corollary 6.2 (Theorem of Bogomolov). *If $\text{TORS}(X(L))$ is infinite then L contains an infinite abelian subextension of K .*

Proof of Theorem 6.1. First, we may and will assume that X is K -simple, i.e., the center F of the endomorphism algebra of X is a number field.

Second, there is a positive integer d , enjoying the following property:

If m is a positive integer such that $\varphi(m) \leq 2g = 2\dim(X)$ then d is divisible by m .

Third, let λ be a prime ideal in O_F dividing a prime number ℓ . Then, in the notations of Section 1 the following statement is true.

Lemma 6.3. 1. *The composition*

$$\pi_\lambda := (\det_{F_\lambda} \rho_{\lambda, X})^d : G(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{F_\lambda} V_\lambda(X) \rightarrow F_\lambda^* \rightarrow F_\lambda^*$$

is an abelian representation of $G(K)$ unramified outside divisors of ℓ .

2. *For all but finitely many λ the composition*

$$\bar{\pi}_\lambda := (\det_{F_\lambda} \bar{\rho}_{\lambda, X})^d : G(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{O_F/\lambda} X_\lambda \rightarrow (O_F/\lambda)^* \rightarrow (O_F/\lambda)^*$$

is an abelian representation of $G(K)$ unramified outside divisors of ℓ .

We will prove Lemma at the end of this section. Now, let us finish the proof of Theorem, assuming validity of Lemma.

First, notice that the ratio

$$e = 2\dim(X)/[F : \mathbf{Q}]$$

is a positive integer. Second, for all but finitely many primes p there exists a finite collection of *Weil numbers*, i.e., certain algebraic integers $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e\} \subset F(a)$, enjoying the following properties:

- (Weil's condition) There is a positive integer $q > 1$ such that q is an integral power of p and all $|\alpha_i|^2 = q$ for all embeddings $F(a) \subset \mathbf{C}$.
- For all $\ell \neq p$ and $\lambda \mid \ell$ there is a subset $S_\lambda \subset \{1, \dots, e\}$ such that $(\prod_{i \in S_\lambda} \alpha_i) \in O_F$ and the group $\text{Im}(\pi_\lambda)$ contains $\prod_{i \in S_\lambda} \alpha_i$.
- For all but finitely many λ the subgroup $\text{Im}(\bar{\pi}_\lambda)$ contains $(\prod_{i \in S_\lambda} \alpha_i) \bmod \lambda \in (O_F/\lambda)^*$.

Indeed, let us choose a prime ideal $\mathfrak{v}$ in the ring O_K of all algebraic integers in K such that X has good reduction at $\mathfrak{v}$. Let

$$Fr_{\mathfrak{v}} \in \text{Im}(\rho_{\lambda, X}) \subset \text{Aut}_{F_\lambda} V_\lambda(X)$$

be *Frobenius element* $Fr_{\mathfrak{v}}$ at $\mathfrak{v}$ (defined up to conjugacy) [11], [9]. Then the set of its eigenvalues belongs to $F(a)$, does not depend on the choice of λ and satisfies all the desired properties with p the residual characteristic of $\mathfrak{v}$ and $q = \#(O_K/\mathfrak{v})$ ([13], Ch. 7, Prop. 7.21 and proof of Prop. 7.23).

Proof of assertion 1. We know that there exists λ dividing ℓ such that the subspace $V_\lambda(X)$ consists of $G(L)$ -invariants. This means that $G(L)$ lies in the kernel of π_λ . This implies that the field E' of $\ker(\pi_\lambda)$ -invariants is an abelian subextension of L , unramified outside divisors of ℓ and $\text{Gal}(E'/K)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Im}(\pi_\lambda)$. Choosing a collection of Weil numbers attached to prime $p \neq \ell$, we easily conclude that $\text{Im}(\pi_\lambda)$ is an *infinite* commutative ℓ -adic Lie group [11] and therefore, there is a continuous quotient of $\text{Im}(\pi_\lambda)$, isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$. One has to take as E the subextension of E' corresponding to this quotient. $\square$

Proof of assertion 2. We know that for all but finitely many $\ell \in P$ there exists λ dividing ℓ such that X_λ consists of $G(L)$ -invariants. This means that the field $E^{(\ell)}$ of $\ker(\bar{\pi}_\lambda)$ -invariants is an abelian subextension of L , unramified outside divisors of ℓ and $\text{Gal}(E^{(\ell)}/K)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Im}(\pi_\lambda)$. In order to prove that $[E^{(\ell)} : K]$ tends to infinity, let us assume that there exist an infinite subset $P' \subset P$ and a positive integer D such that $\#(\text{Gal}(E^{(\ell)}/K)) = [E^{(\ell)} : K]$ divides D for all $\ell \in P'$. This means that

$$\bar{\pi}_\lambda^D : G(K) \rightarrow (O_F/\lambda)^*$$

is a trivial homomorphism for *infinitely many* λ . In order to get a contradiction, let us choose a collection of Weil numbers $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e\}$ enjoying the properties described above.

Clearly, for any non-empty subset $S \subset \{1, \dots, e\}$ the product $\alpha_S := \prod_{i \in S} \alpha_i$ is not a root of unity. In addition, if $\alpha_S \in O_F$ then there are only finitely many λ such that $\alpha_S^D - 1$ is an element of λ . Since there are only finitely many subsets of $\{1, \dots, 2g\}$, for all but finitely many λ the group

$$\mathrm{Im}((\bar{\pi}_\lambda)^D) \subset (O_F/\lambda)^*$$

contains an element of type $\alpha_S^D \bmod \lambda$ different from 1. This implies that $(\bar{\pi}_\lambda)^D$ is a non-trivial homomorphism for all but finitely many λ . This gives the desired contradiction. □

Proof of Lemma. Let $\mathfrak{v}$ be a prime ideal in the ring O_K of all algebraic integers in K . We write $I_{\mathfrak{v}} \subset G(K)$ for the corresponding inertia subgroup defined up to conjugacy. Assume that the residual characteristic of $\mathfrak{v}$ is different from ℓ . It is known [4] that for any $\sigma \in I_{\mathfrak{v}}$ there exists a positive integer m such that $\rho_{\ell, X}(\sigma)^m$ is a unipotent operator in $V_\ell(X)$ and its characteristic polynomial has coefficients in $\mathbf{Z}$. This implies that if m is the smallest integer enjoying this property then the characteristic polynomial is divisible by the m th cyclotomic polynomial. This implies that $2g \geq \varphi(m)$ and therefore m divides d . Since $V_\lambda(X)$ is a Galois-invariant subspace of $V_\ell(X)$ and (for all but finitely many ℓ) X_λ is a Galois-invariant subspace of $T_\ell(X)/\ell T_\ell(X)$, a Galois automorphism σ^d acts as a unipotent operator in $V_\lambda(X)$ and (for all but finitely many λ) in X_λ . One has only to recall that the determinant of a unipotent operator is always 1. □

□

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Deligne. Hodge cycles on abelian varieties (notes by J.S. Milne). In *Hodge Cycles, Motives and Shimura Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 900 (Springer-Verlag, 1982), pp. 9–100.
- [2] G. Faltings. Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern. *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), 349–366.
- [3] G. Faltings. Complements to Mordell. In *Rational Points*, Aspects of Mathematics vol. E6 (Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, 1984), pp. 204–227.
- [4] A. Grothendieck. Modèles de Néron et monodromie. In *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique, SGA 7 I*, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 288 (Springer-Verlag, 1972), pp. 313–523.
- [5] H. Imai. A remark on the rational points of abelian varieties with values in cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$ -extensions. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **51** (1975), 12–16.
- [6] D. Masser, G. Wüstholz. Refinements for the Tate conjecture for abelian varieties. In *Abelian varieties* (Walter de Gruyter, 1995), pp. 211–223.
- [7] B. Mazur. Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields. *Invent. Math.* **18** (1972), 183–266.
- [8] D. Mumford. *Abelian varieties*, Second Edition (Oxford Univ. Press 1974).

- [9] K. A. Ribet. Galois action on division points of abelian varieties with real multiplication. *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 751–804.
- [10] K. A. Ribet. Torsion points of abelian varieties in cyclotomic extensions, pp. 315–319. Appendix to: N.M. Katz, S. Lang. Finiteness theorems in geometric classfield theory. *L'Enseign. Math.* **27** (1981), 285–319.
- [11] J.-P. Serre. *Abelian ℓ -adic representations and elliptic curves*. Second edition (Addison-Wesley, 1989).
- [12] J.-P. Serre. Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques. *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), 259–331.
- [13] G. Shimura. *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*. Publ. Math. Soc. Japan vol. 11 (Princeton Univ. Press, 1971).
- [14] A. Silverberg, Yu. G. Zarhin. Hodge groups of abelian varieties with purely multiplicative reduction. *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk, ser. matem.* **60** (1996), 149–158; *Izvestiya: Mathematics* **60**:2, 379–389.
- [15] A. Silverberg, Yu. G. Zarhin. Connectedness extensions for abelian varieties. *Math. Z.*, to appear.
- [16] A. Weil. Abelian varieties and the Hodge ring [1977c]. In *Œuvres scientifiques* vol. III (Springer-Verlag, 1979), pp. 421–429.
- [17] K. Wingberg. On the rational points of Abelian varieties over $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extensions of number fields. *Math. Ann.* **279** (1987), 9–24.
- [18] Yu. G. Zarhin. Torsion of Abelian varieties over fields in finite characteristic. *Math. Notes* **22** (1978), 493–498.
- [19] Yu. G. Zarhin. A finiteness theorem for unpolarized Abelian varieties over number fields with prescribed places of bad reduction. *Invent. Math.* **79** (1985), 309–321.
- [20] Yu. G. Zarhin. Endomorphisms and torsion of abelian varieties. *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987), 131–145.
- [21] Yu. G. Zarhin. Torsion of Abelian varieties over $GL(2)$ -extensions of number fields. *Math. Ann.* **284** (1989), 631–646.
- [22] Yu. G. Zarhin. Torsion and endomorphisms of abelian varieties over infinite extensions of number fields. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. matem.* **55**(1991); *Math. USSR Izv.* **38** (1992), 647–657.
- [23] S. Zhang. Equidistribution of small points on abelian varieties. Preprint, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA,

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL PROBLEMS IN BIOLOGY, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, PUSHCHINO, MOSCOW REGION, 142292, RUSSIA

E-mail address: zarhin@math.psu.edu